ARTICLE

Congenital Microcephaly

DIANA ALCANTARA AND MARK O'DRISCOLL*

The underlying etiologies of genetic congenital microcephaly are complex and multifactorial. Recently, with the exponential growth in the identification and characterization of novel genetic causes of congenital microcephaly, there has been a consolidation and emergence of certain themes concerning underlying pathomechanisms. These include abnormal mitotic microtubule spindle structure, numerical and structural abnormalities of the centrosome, altered cilia function, impaired DNA repair, DNA Damage Response signaling and DNA replication, along with attenuated cell cycle checkpoint proficiency. Many of these processes are highly interconnected. Interestingly, a defect in a gene whose encoded protein has a canonical function in one of these processes can often have multiple impacts at the cellular level involving several of these pathways. Here, we overview the key pathomechanistic themes underlying profound congenital microcephaly, and emphasize their interconnected nature. © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

KEY WORDS: cell division; mitosis; DNA replication; cilia

How to cite this article: Alcantara D, O'Driscoll M. 2014. Congenital microcephaly. Am J Med Genet Part C Semin Med Genet 166C:124–139.

INTRODUCTION

Congenital microcephaly, an occipital‐ frontal circumference of equal to or less than 2–3 standard deviations below the age‐related population mean, denotes a fundamental impairment in normal brain development [Woods and Parker, 2013]. Depending on the underlying cause, congenital microcephaly can be associated with structural brain malformations [e.g., gyrification issues, agenesis of corpus callosum, pituitary abnormalities] or secondary consequences such as craniosynostosis [Verloes et al., 2013]. Congenital microcephaly can have an environmental or genetic etiology [Gilmore and Walsh, 2013]. Cerebral cortical neurons must have developed by

mid‐gestation although glial cell division and consequent brain volume enlargement does continue after birth [Spalding et al., 2005]. Impaired neurogenesis is therefore most obviously reflected clinically as congenital microcephaly.

Fundamentally, neurogenesis incorporates several stages that are very susceptible to problems in the efficient and effective execution of genome maintenance, DNA replication and ultimately cell division. The developing human neuroepithelium must undergo a rapid expansion in stem cell numbers to fuel its own symmetric expansion [Rakic, 1995]. This is essential to generate enough capacity to instigate and maintain asymmetric division for neuronal differentiation, enabling the

formation of the various cortical layers. Furthermore, differentiating and developing neurons must migrate to their defined locations to construct the complex architecture and laminar layered structure of the cortex [Tan and Shi, 2013; Wu et al., 2014] (Fig. 1).

What spectrum of physiological deficits underlies congenital microcephaly? Defects resulting in elevated levels of apoptosis can deplete neuroprogenitor stem and differentiating cells. Defects impacting upon efficient DNA replication can limit the capacity of the neuroepithelium to expand under its strict temporal constraints. Defects in the mitotic apparatus (e.g., microtubule spindles, centrosomes, centrioles) can lead to impaired symmetric‐asymmetric

DOI 10.1002/ajmg.c.31397

Article first published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com): 9 May 2014

Grant sponsor: Cancer Research UK; Grant sponsor: Medical Research Council (UK); Grant sponsor: Leukaemia Lymphoma Research (UK). The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Mark O'Driscoll, Ph.D is Research Professor of Human Molecular Genetics in the Genome Damage & Stability Centre [GDSC] at the University of Sussex where he leads the Human DNA Damage Response Disorders Group. His research interests include understanding the mechanisms underlying genome stability, cell cycle regulation, and receptor tyrosine kinase-mediated signal transduction pathways, with a strong emphasis on dissecting the genotype–phenotype relationships underlying the congential human disorders caused by genetic defects in these fundamental processes.

Diana Alcantara, M.Sc, Ph.D is currently a postdoctoral researcher within the Human DNA Damage Response Disorders Group at the GDSC. Her research interests include investigating the wider impacts of ATR‐dysfunction upon the DNA Damage Response and metabolic homeostasis; as well as the molecular causes of congenital human developmental disorders.

^{*}Correspondance to: Mark O'Driscoll, Genome Damage & Stability Centre, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN19RQ East Sussex, United Kingdom. E‐mail: m.o-driscoll@sussex.ac.uk

Figure 1. Cell division and differentiation in the developing cerebral cortex. (A). Neural plate originating cells initially undergo a phase of symmetric self‐renewal and lateral expansion. Then, as development continues they asymmetrically divide to give rise to glial cells and neurons in the cerebral cortex, which ultimately structures into multiple discrete layers following development. Radial glial cells are key neuroprogenitors whose cell body lies in the ventricular zone (VZ) and whose radial fibers span the width of the cerebral cortex. These can differentiate into neurons, as well as intermediate progenitors that migrate to the subventricular zone (SVZ) and can further generate more progenitor cells and neurons by repeated asymmetric cell division. Finally, radial glial cells also can give rise to astrocytes and oligodendrocytes at later stages. Additionally, the radial glial fibers function as scaffolding for migrating cells to move outwards and away from the VZ to their appropriate destination regions. Ultimately the migrating neurons become the pyramidal cells of the cerebral cortex. CP: cortical plate. IZ: intermediate zone. VZ: ventricular zone. OSVZ: outer‐subventricular zone. ISVZ, inner‐subventricular zone. IZ: intermediate zone. (B) Neuroepithelial cell division within the ventricular zone (VZ) is accompanied by nuclear migration between the apical and basal surfaces of the developing cerebral cortex. In the G_1 phase of the cell cycle, the cell nucleus ascends from the apical surface towards the basal end of the ventricular zone, where it remains during S-phase. During G_2 , the nucleus then returns to the apical surface where mitosis (M) takes place. (C) Proliferative versus neurogenic (differentiating) cell division is dictated by the cleavage plane orientation (dotted red line) of dividing neuroepithelial (NE) cells. Orientation of the mitotic spindle is parallel to the apical surface during symmetric cell division, with the resulting cleavage plan intersecting the apical plasma membrane (PM). A deviation in the orientation of the mitotic spindle results in an asymmetric cell division, with only one of the daughter cells inheriting the apical PM region (pink cell). The correct orientation of the mitotic spindle is therefore crucial for neurogenesis. The number of rounds of symmetric proliferative divisions undergone by NE cells determines the ultimate number of neurons. As neurogenesis progresses, increased asymmetric divisions means that only one daughter cell inherits the apical PM and remains a NE cell, while the other becomes either a basal progenitor, a radial‐glial cell or a neuron (depicted as the orange cell). Additionally, cell cycle length plays a role in proliferative versus differentiating cell divisions. Longer cell cycles have been associated with differentiating divisions, while shortening of G1 phase for example has been linked to an increase in proliferative divisions.

division, inappropriate cell cycle arrest and/or elevated apoptosis. Mutations in genes encoding key players in each of these biological processes have been described in patients exhibiting profound congenital microcephaly (Tables I–III). This can present as the most marked clinical feature; primary microcephaly (PM), or in association with pronounced growth restriction; as in the growing family of genetically identifiable microcephalic primordial dwarfisms (MPDs), such as Seckel syndrome, microcephalic primordial dwarfism types I and II and Meier– Gorlin syndrome. Herein, we overview the genetic defects associated with severe congenital microcephaly and discuss how they contribute to the general phenomena of limiting cell division capacity and elevating levels of stem and/or neuroprogenitor cell death

as mechanisms underlying impaired neurogenesis.

MITOSIS AND MICROCEPHALY

To date, the majority of the genetic defects identified in PM and MPDs involve genes encoding proteins that play fundamental roles in various processes that collectively enable cells to

PM, primary microcephaly; MPD, microcephalic primordial dwarfism. a Denotes the gene entry in OMIM.

TABLE II. Defects in the Origin Recognition Complex Core and Associated Components Underlying Meier–Gorlin Syndrome

execute precise chromosomal segregation and mitotic division [Thornton and

To date, the majority of the genetic defects identified in PM and MPDs involve genes encoding proteins that play fundamental roles in various processes that collectively enable cells to execute precise chromosomal segregation and mitotic division.

Woods, 2009; Mahmood et al., 2011; Verloes et al., 2013](Table I). The mitotic phase of the cell cycle involves an intricate and highly complex ballet of interactions and transactions occurring in an organized and inter‐dependent fashion [Walczak et al., 2010]. These include chromosome condensation, bipolar mitotic microtubule spindle network formation and dissolution, along with chromosomal kinetochore‐ mediated nucleation and capture by spindle microtubules to instigate the amphitelic restraining of chromosomes for alignment at metaphase prior to segregation. The centrosome represents

an important spindle microtubule organizing center [Bettencourt‐Dias and Glover, 2007]. There is now an increasing list of examples of hypomorphic defects in genes encoding core components of the centrosome associated with PM and MPD (Table I and Figs. 2 and 3).

Very often, the precise roles of these proteins at centrosomes are rather opaque. For some, such as Pericentrin and g‐tubulin, these often have "structural" or "scaffold" functions attributed them [Zimmerman et al., 2004]. In most instances, descriptive impacts upon centriole and centrosome duplication, and consequently abnormalities in microtubule spindle organization, have been observed for defects in these proteins [Griffith et al., 2008; Rauch et al., 2008]. Furthermore, there is growing evidence that defects in some of these proteins have additional negative impacts upon the centrosomal localization of other centrosome proteins that have independently been identified as underlying defects of PM and/or MPD. Illustrative examples include the interplay between CEP152 and CEP63 or for CEP152 and CENPJ (CPAP) [Cizmecioglu et al., 2010; Sir et al., 2011]. These occurrences further highlight the interconnected and functional interplay between many of these proteins, explaining to some degree why defects herein present with a common clinical manifestation of congenital microcephaly.

Centrosomes and Spindles

The centrosome cycle is coordinated with the canonical cell cycle whereby the mother centrosome, inherited from

Currently, the working functional model to explain impaired neurogenesis in the context of a defect in a centrosomal protein is that these often result in supernumerary centrosomes, fragmented centrosomes and/or premature centriolar separation. Consequently, these can result in deficits in mitotic spindle microtubule nucleation when establishing a bipolar spindle.

the previous mitosis, must duplicate to generate a mother‐daughter pair prior to G_2 -phase where they then act as a microtubule organizing center at the

TABLE III. Defects in DNA Damage Response and DNA Repair Proteins Associated With Congenital Microcephaly

PM, primary microcephaly; IR, ionizing radiation.

a
Denotes the gene entry in OMIM. MPD: microcephalic primordial dwarfism. HRR: homologous recombination repair. NHEJ: nonhomologous DNA end joining. M‐R‐N: MRE11‐RAD50‐NBS1 complex.

Figure 2. Centrioles, centrosome and mitotic microtubule organization. (A) The centriole cycle is intimately coordinated with the canonical cell cycle where centriolar division occurs during S-phase to ensure mature centrioles are available prior to the onset of mitosis. (B) A normal mitosis is depicted in the upper panel where the centrosomes are shown in black, the chromosomes in gray and the microtubule spindles as the dotted lines. Bi-polar spindle formation is an essential prerequisite to effective chromosome segregation during mitotic division. The lower panels show mitotic LCLs from a wild-type (WT) and *PCNT*-mutated α -tubulin (green) whilst the centrosomes were stained using γ -tubulin (yellow-orange). The WT mitotic cell shows a bipolar spindle in contrast to the multipolar spindle from the PCNT-individual. (Images courtesy of Dr. Iga Abramowicz).

Figure 3. The distribution of genetic defects underlying congenital microcephaly attributable to the centrosome, spindle and kinetochore. The upper panel depicts a normal bipolar mitosis. For the centrosome panel, the proteins in black are centrosome-specific proteins whilst those in blue are spindle constituent and spindle‐associated proteins associated with congenital microcephaly. For the kinetochore panel CENP‐E is shown extending from the kinetochore via its large coiled‐coiled region onto microtubules. The orange section of CENP-E denotes its kinesin motor domain. CCAN: constitutive centromere-associated network. KNM network: KNLI-NDC80 (CASC5)‐MIND.

onset of the next mitosis [Hinchcliffe, 2001] (Fig. 2A). Currently, the working functional model to explain impaired neurogenesis in the context of a defect in a centrosomal protein is that these often result in supernumerary centrosomes, fragmented centrosomes and/or premature centriolar separation [Woods and Parker, 2013]. Consequently, these can result in deficits in mitotic spindle microtubule nucleation when establishing a bipolar spindle. Very often, multipolar spindles are observed in patient‐derived cell lines representing a catastrophic consequence for mitosis, chromosome segregation and cell division [Rauch et al., 2008; Issa et al., 2013] (Fig. 2B). Such outcomes can result in permanent cell cycle arrest via activation of the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), as well as cytokinesis failure and subsequent apoptosis [Musacchio, 2011]. All of these impacts could limit neuroepithelial stem cell maintenance and expansion, as well as disrupt the important balance between cell division and differentiation (Fig. 1).

Defects in microtubule spindle components and spindle‐associated proteins represent the most frequent underlying cause of congenital microcephaly described to date (Table I). One well known example is ASPM (abnormal spindle‐like microcephaly‐associated protein), a spindle binding protein that localizes to the pericentriolar matrix (PCM) of the centrosome at the onset of mitosis [Bond et al., 2002]. It has been shown that defects in ASPM function can result in altered spindle pole orientation in the developing neuroepithelium, thereby disrupting the balance between symmetric and asymmetric division of neuronal stem cells [Fish et al., 2006] (Fig. 1C). Indeed this has also recently been elegantly demonstrated in the developing brain of a mouse model of Mcph1 (Microcephalin), a common cause of PM in humans [Gruber et al., 2011]. Defects in microtubule and cytoskeletal constituents (e.g., α - and β -tubulin) and even microtubule interacting proteins that regulate diverse processes such as microtubule formation, stabilization and depolymerization, can also result in

congenital microcephaly [Morris‐Rosendahl et al., 2008; Jaglin and Chelly, 2009; Romaniello et al., 2014] (Table I and Fig. 3). These defects are typically also associated with marked deficits in cortical development (e.g., lissencephaly, pachygyria, polymicrogyria) due to abnormalities in neuronal migration (e.g., KIF2A, KIF5C) [Poirier et al., 2013].

The Kinetochore and Spindles

Considering its role in microtubule capture and chromosome segregation, defects in kinetochore components as an underlying pathomechanism for congenital microcephaly are very much under‐represented to date, compared to the spindle and centrosome (Table I and Fig. 3). Mutations in BUB1B cause mosaic variegated aneuploidy, an MPD associated with elevated cancer predisposition, particularly Wilms tumor [Matsuura et al., 2000; Hanks et al., 2004]. BUB1B encodes BUBR1, a SAC protein that localizes to the kinetochore of lagging chromosomes during mitosis [Bolanos‐Garcia et al., 2009; Kiyomitsu et al., 2011]. SAC activation ensures all kinetochores have robust amphitelic microtubule attachments prior to segregation [Rudner and Murray, 1996; Musacchio, 2011].

A defect in CASC5 was reported in three related families used to define the original MCPH4 locus [Jamieson et al., 1999; Genin et al., 2012]. CASC5 encodes a component of the KMN Complex [KNL1‐Mis12 complex‐ Ndc80], a kinetochore localizing multi‐protein complex involved in microtubule stabilization and SAC silencing [Kiyomitsu et al., 2007]. Mirzaa et al. [2014] recently described the first example of a defect in a core kinetochore component as the underlying cause of MPD. They found defects in CENPE, the gene encoding the large kinetochore protein CENP‐E which plays a vital role in microtubule capture during mitosis (Fig. 3). Cells from the affected individuals exhibited multiple interconnected mitotic abnormalities. It is likely that other kinetochore‐associated defects await to be identified as novel causes of

congenital microcephaly disorders, as PM and/or MPD.

DNA REPLICATION, CILIA FUNCTION AND MICROCEPHALY

Compared to other cell types, cell cycle length can be remarkably short in developing neuroprogenitors [Rakic, 1995]. Since these cells need to undergo rapid and temporally restricted expansion, efficient DNA replication is fundamental to ensure normal neuronal development. In fact, even within progenitor populations there appears to be significant variation in the duration of certain cell cycle phases; most notably G_1 and S-phase, depending upon the specific lineage commitment of the progenitors in question [Dehay et al., 2001; Dehay and Kennedy, 2007; Pilaz et al., 2009; Arai et al., 2011]. Therefore, genetic defects that can adversely impact upon the duration of these cell cycle phases could potentially have a dramatic effect upon the efficiency of cortical development.

Origin Licensing, G_1 -S Transition, DNA Replication and S‐Phase Progression

Recently, defects in multiple components of the origin recognition complex

Recently, defects in multiple components of the origin recognition complex [ORC], a multi‐subunit complex that 'licenses' and thereby initiates DNA replication from mainly non‐sequence specific discrete genomic regions, were identified in Meier‐Gorlin syndrome (MGS).

[ORC], a multi‐subunit complex that "licenses" and thereby initiates DNA

replication from mainly non‐sequence specific discrete genomic regions, were identified in Meier‐Gorlin syndrome (MGS) [Bicknell et al., 2011a,b; Guernsey et al., 2011] (Table II). MGS is an MPD associated with additional features including endochondral ossification abnormalities [Gorlin et al., 1975; Ahmad and Teebi, 1997; Bongers et al., 2005]. With respect to ORC1-mutated MGS, specific deficiencies in DNA origin licensing, DNA replication initiation, G_1 –S transition and S–phase progression were catalogued in patient lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs), thus suggesting a pathomechanism based upon delayed DNA replication as underlying the clinical presentation [Bicknell et al., 2011b]. Furthermore, several ORC1‐ MGS mutations localized to the BAH (bromo adjacent homology) domain of ORC1 [Bicknell et al., 2011b]. This region was shown to bind histone H4‐ lysine-20-dimethylated (H4-K12-Me²), and since $H4-K12-Me²$ is enriched at two known human replication origins, it has been postulated that this domain is required for ORC‐recruitment to origins [Noguchi et al., 2006; Kuo et al., 2012]. Collectively, these findings appear to support a model whereby a direct impact upon DNA replication kinetics, due to defects in components that initiate DNA replication, are associated with congenital microcephaly in the context of this MPD.

Recent findings suggest that there is more to this basic model. For example, pathogenic defects in MCM4, a core component of the replisome, have been identified in patients with adrenal insufficiency, growth restriction and a selective Natural Killer cell defect, although without any overt indication of congenital microcephaly [Casey et al., 2012; Gineau et al., 2012; Hughes et al., 2012]. Similarly, a polymerase‐ inactivating defect in the catalytic subunit (POLD1) of DNA polymerase δ , the lagging strand DNA polymerase, has been identified in an individual with a complex disorder involving lipodystrophy, deafness, hypogonadism and mandibular hypoplasia; again, without overt congenital microcephaly [Weedon et al., 2013].

Cilia‐Function and S‐Phase Entry

With respect to the impaired origin licensing and delayed S‐phase kinetics observed in MGS, subsequent investigation provided evidence to suggest a more complex and multifaceted pathomechanism. Stiff et al. [2013] found that while MGS‐causative defects were associated with reduced licensing of an ectopically supplied DNA replication origin, unexpectedly, some of these defects did not segregate with delayed S-phase progression in patient LCLs. Rather, all of these defects were associated with centriole‐centrosome abnormalities, impaired cilia formation and consequently cilia‐dependent signaling [Stiff et al., 2013]. Interestingly, ORC1 can localize to the centrosome in a Cyclin A‐dependent manner and has previously been implicated in controlling centriole and centrosome copy number via interaction with Cyclin E [Hemerly et al., 2009]. Whether other ORC components have direct roles at the centrosome and/or cilia is not clear.

Cilia formation and function are vital for coordinating cell cycle entry from G_0 phase into G_1 -S [Heldin and Westermark, 1999; Schneider et al., 2005]. Furthermore, cilia function is intimately associated with neuronal development [Spassky et al., 2008; Han and Alvarez‐Buylla, 2010; Lee and Gleeson, 2011]. Delayed G_1 -S transit appears to be a feature of MGS cells and this delay appears also to be dependent upon cilia‐signaling [Stiff et al., 2013]. This is an intriguing result considering the importance of G_1 phase length in regulating the balance between neuroprogenitor stem cell regeneration and progenitor lineage commitment via differentiation [Dehay and Kennedy, 2007; Pilaz et al., 2009]. The cilia‐ dependent findings in MGS originating from defects in components with canonical roles in DNA replication adds an extra layer of complexity to our understanding of the molecular and cellular impacts that converge here to adversely affect normal neuronal development (and height attainment and endochondral ossification). Additionally, several ORC components have also been

implicated in multiple post‐mitotic neuronal functions, suggestive of additional roles outside of DNA replication origin licensing (reviewed in Kerzendorfer et al., 2013).

Is there precedence for centrosome‐ based cilia dysfunction in human congenital microcephaly disorders? Defects in PCNT, encoding the centrosomal protein Pericentrin, underlie the MPD microcephalic primordial osteodysplastic dwarfism type‐II (MOPD‐II) [Griffith et al., 2008; Rauch et al., 2008]. Impaired PCNT function is associated with cilia‐dysfunction [Miyoshi et al., 2006, 2009; Mühlhans et al., 2011]. Multiple mutations in POC1A which encodes a centriole protein, have been identified in several MPD families [Shaheen et al., 2012]. Interestingly these defects were associated with centrosome fragmentation, microtubule spindle abnormalities (e.g., multipolar spindles) as well as cilia formation and signaling deficits [Shaheen et al., 2012]. In fact, ASPM has recently been associated with compromised WNT signaling which could also reflect an underlying problem in cilia function [Ponting, 2006; Buchman et al., 2011].

Therefore, it would appear that clinically relevant cilia abnormalities impacting on neurogenesis can originate from at least two routes; firstly, as a direct consequence of defects in proteins with known and/or probable roles in cilia formation which emanates from the centriolar‐basal body, and secondly, from the likely secondary or indirect consequence of defects in proteins without prescribed roles in the cilium or in cilia formation, as in the case of the origin licensing components. The latter route may prove to be more widespread than currently appreciated.

THE DNA DAMAGE RESPONSE (DDR) AND MICROCEPHALY

The PI3‐kinase‐like family members ATM (Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated) and ATR (Ataxia Telangiectasia and Rad3‐related) are the apical protein kinases of the DNA Damage Response (DDR) [Cimprich and Cortez, 2008; Lavin, 2008; Maréchal and Zou, 2013; Shiloh and Ziv, 2013]. The DDR is a signal transduction cascade activated upon detection of DNA strand breaks that initiates and controls integrated responses to these damages [Sirbu and Cortez, 2013]. These responses include cell cycle checkpoint activation, DNA replication fork stabilization, engagement of DNA repair pathways and ultimately apoptosis, if the extent of damage precludes survival. ATM is activated by DNA double strand breakage (DSB) while ATR is activated by RPA‐coated single stranded DNA (ssDNA) which can occur upon stalling of DNA replication forks or as an intermediate during certain DNA repair processes (e.g., DSB resection to facilitate homologous recombination repair). Both kinases largely function in a redundant manner in the DDR [O'Driscoll and Jeggo, 2008].

Congenital deficiency of ATM results in ataxia telangiectasia [A–T], a cerebellar neurodegenerative condition involving the progressive loss of Purkinje neurons specifically [Lavin, 2008]. Hypomorphic defects in ATR underlie Seckel syndrome; the archetypal MPD

Hypomorphic defects in ATR underlie Seckel syndrome; the archetypal MPD. ATR has an obligate co‐stabilising binding partner called ATRIP (ATR‐Interacting Protein), and defects herein have also been identified as a cause of Seckel syndrome.

[O'Driscoll et al., 2003]. ATR has an obligate co‐stabilizing binding partner called ATRIP (ATR-interacting protein), and defects herein have also been identified as a cause of Seckel syndrome [Ogi et al., 2012]. From an neuroanatomical perspective, impaired ATR‐ ATRIP function is most overtly associated with marked congenital microcephaly extending to -9 to -12 SD below the age related mean postnatally [Ogi et al., 2012]. Some problems in myelination and isolated structural abnormalities (e.g., absent pituitary fossa, agenesis of corpus callosum) have also been observed in these patients, although not consistently (Fig. 4A) [Ogi et al., 2012].

ATR plays a vital role in stabilising stalled DNA replication forks [Cimprich and Cortez, 2008]. Both ATM and ATR function in controlling dormant DNA replication origin firing and activation of G₁-S, intra-S and G₂-M cell cycle checkpoints. One of the first bona fide substrates identified and characterized for these kinases was p53 [Banin et al., 1998]. There are now many others [Matsuoka et al., 2007; Stokes et al., 2007]. Therefore, both kinases directly signal to the apoptotic machinery via this and other routes [Roos and Kaina, 2006]. Loss of ATR function is associated with elevated replication fork collapse and consequently DSB formation, therefore triggering an ATM‐dependent DDR cascade. This was elegantly demonstrated as a physiological consequence of hypomorphic ATR‐ablation in a mouse model of ATR‐mutated Seckel syndrome $(Atr^{S/S})$ [Murga et al., 2009]. Embryos of the Atr^{S/S} animal exhibited massively elevated spontaneous levels of replicative stress‐induced DNA damage and apoptosis, even in the developing neuroepithelium [Murga et al., 2009]. The surviving animals exhibited craniofacial abnormalities (receding forehead, micrognathia), growth restriction and congenital microcephaly reminiscent of the ATR‐mutated Seckel syndrome individuals, consistent with the concept of intrauterine programming [Murga et al., 2009; O'Driscoll, 2009].

Defective DDR, Apoptosis and Microcephaly

Conditional Cre‐restricted mouse models have shown that the functional inter‐ relationships between in the apical DDR kinases are more complex when considering brain development. For example, conditional Atr ablation was unexpectedly found to impact relatively late upon neurogenesis, and then only in certain progenitor populations [Lee et al., 2012b]. Rapid proliferation of granule neurons within the embryonic cerebellar external germ layer (EGL) occurs in response to sonic hedgehog (Shh), whose mitogenic potential is realized via cilia [Spassky et al., 2008]. Atr‐ deficient EGL cells underwent p53‐ independent proliferation arrest, while other areas underwent p53‐dependent apoptosis [Lee et al., 2012b]. Furthermore, co-incident inactivation of Atm was unexpectedly found not to exacerbate Atr loss in the brain, suggesting a non‐overlapping role for each kinase in this developmental context [Lee et al., 2012b].

Topoisomerase II binding protein I (TopBP1) is essential for DNA replication and cell cycle checkpoint activation [Sokka et al., 2010]. It also plays a key role in activating ATR kinase [Kumagai et al., 2006]. Interestingly, conditional progenitor-restricted deletion of Topbp1 was found to be essential for early progenitor genome stability and survival, but not, unexpectedly, for replication per se [Lee et al., 2012a]. Furthermore, this impact was found to be p53‐dependent whilst Atm‐independent [Lee et al., 2012a]. Atm has previously been shown to be an important mediator of p53‐ dependent DSB‐induced apoptosis in the nervous system [Herzog et al., 1998]. Collectively, these findings highlight the importance of the cell‐specific context within the brain, along with the nature of the genomic instability (e.g., replication fork‐dependent), as being fundamental to the precise impacts of defects in DDR‐signaling controllers such as Atr, Atm and Topbp1, in the developing mouse brain at least.

DEFECTIVE DNA DOUBLE STRAND BREAK [DSB] REPAIR AND MICROCEPHALY

Non‐homologous DNA End‐Joining (NHEJ) and Homologous Recombination Repair (HRR) are the core DSB repair pathways [O'Driscoll and Jeggo, 2006; Moynahan and Jasin, 2010; Deriano and Roth, 2013]. Interestingly,

Figure 4. Defective DDR and DNA repair as a cause of congenital microcephaly. (A) Neuroanatomical images of the ATRIP-mutated Seckel syndrome individual described by [Ogi et al., 2012]. The patient is severely microcephalic [-10 SD] with evidence of an abnormally shaped pituitary (without an obvious fossa). (*Images courtesy of Dr. Margaret Barrow*). (B) Homologous recombination repair (HRR) from a DSB is initiated by exonunclease-mediated resection of the DSB ends. These RPA-coated single stranded overhangs are then bound by RAD51 generating a filament structure that can invade the sister chromatid to form a D‐loop. The crossover point is referred to as a Holliday Junction (HJ). Template driven replication occurs and is followed by second end capture to generate a crossover containing a double HJ (dHJ). This intertwined molecule requires resolution which can occur via BLM helicase‐dependent route, which generates a non‐crossover product, or, a nuclease‐dependent route to generate a cross‐over. The nucleases that can act on this structure are termed "resolvases." (C) An on‐going DNA replication fork is shown with the parental DNA in black and the newly replicated DNA in blue. If this structure collides with a DNA single strand break (SSB) the resultant product can contain a DNA double strand break (DSB). This situation requires the coordinate action of DDR mechanisms and distinct DNA repair pathways. The same situation can also occur if an active or moving transcription fork was to collide with a SSB. (D) Some of the damaged or modified DNA strand ends that can occur following oxidative damage to DNA. The normal end polarity of a 5['] phosphate and 3' hydroxyl group must be restored in order to allow enzymatic ligation of a break. Topo I denotes a stabilized Topoisomerase I cleavable complex (CC) which is a normal intermediate in Topo I's action on DNA. This enzyme normally introduces a SSB to release torsional tension ahead of on-going replication and transcription forks. These breaks are normally dealt with by the SSBR machinery.

congenital defects in DSB repair mechanisms are frequently associated with congenital microcephaly [O'Driscoll and Jeggo, 2008]. Mouse studies suggest that both pathways are essential for developmental viability in mammals; an indication that substantial levels of spontaneous DSBs can be generated during development [Symington and Gautier, 2011]. NHEJ involves direct re‐ ligation of DSBs, sometimes necessitating processing of damaged base and/or sugar moieties at DSB termini prior to

ligation [Deriano and Roth, 2013]. This processing can potentially result in the loss of genetic material. HRR on the other‐hand requires the presence of a sister chromatid to act as a template for repair via strand invasion from one end of the DSB during Holliday Junction (HJ) formation [Heyer et al., 2010] (Fig. 4B). Therefore, this pathway has been assumed to only be operational during cell cycle phases where a sister chromatid is present (i.e., late $S-G_2-M$) [Symington and Gautier, 2011].

Non‐Homologous DNA End‐Joining [NHEJ]

NHEJ is required for immunoglobin and T cell receptor generation via the V(D)J and Class Switch Recombination mechanisms [Gellert, 2002]. Therefore, congenital defects in NHEJ pathway components are also frequently associated with variable immunodeficiency, ranging from variable/combined immunodeficiency to severe combined immunodeficiency [O'Driscoll and Jeggo, 2006]. To date, human defects have been identified in PRKCD encoding DNA‐ PKcs, DCLRE1C encoding ARTEMIS, LIG4 encoding DNA ligase IV and the genes encoding XRCC4 and XLF/ Cernunnos [Moshous et al., 2001; O'Driscoll et al., 2001; Buck et al., 2006; van der Burg et al., 2009; Woodbine et al., 2013; Murray et al., 2014; Shaheen et al., 2014]. Interestingly, ARTEMIS‐defective patients, uniquely in this context, do not appear to exhibit microcephaly, in contrast to the other genes [Moshous et al., 2001]. This may be a consequence of the magnitude and nature of their DSB repair; ARTEMIS‐ defective cells exhibit a specific DSB repair in heterochromatin; an ATM‐ dependent process [Riballo et al., 2004].

Homologous Recombination Repair

By contrast to NHEJ, congenital defects in core components of the HRR machinery are still relatively rare in humans, although some isolated germ‐ line defects have been described [reviewed in O'Driscoll, 2012]. Recent examples include XRCC2 in a patient exhibiting fanconi anemia (FA), BRCA1 in a woman with early onset ovarian cancer and microcephaly, and BRCA2 in the context of MPD [Shamseldin et al., 2012; Domchek et al., 2013; Shaheen et al., 2014]. FA patients frequently exhibit congenital microcephaly although bone marrow failure and acute myeloid leukaemia development are the typically invariant features of this condition [Kee and Andrea, 2012]. The FA pathway is functionally integrated with HRR and defects in other core HRR components have emerged clinically as FA; the classical example being BRCA2 mutations in FA individuals of the FANC‐D1 complementation group [Moldovan and D'Andrea, 2009; Kim and D'Andrea, 2012]. Other examples include RAD51C (FANC‐O), PALB2, encoding the BRCA2 interacting protein (FANC‐N) and SLX4 (FANC‐P), encoding a component of the SLX4‐SLK1 HJ resolving endonuclease (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, germ‐line mutations (heterozygous) in the HRR genes RAD51C and RAD51D have been identified in breast and ovarian cancer cohorts [Meindl et al., 2010; Loveday et al., 2011, 2012; Shaheen et al., 2014]. This suggesting that HRR defects can have a highly variable clinical presentation.

Helicases and Nucleases

The Bloom syndrome helicase BLM [RECQL3] plays an important role in HJ resolution, and congenital microcephaly can be marked in this condition [Hickson, 2003; Croteau et al., 2014] (Fig. 4B). Warsaw breakage syndrome, a disorder of severe congenital microcephaly, growth retardation and chromosomal instability collectively reminiscent of FA and the cohensinopathies, was found to result from a mutation in another ATP‐dependent DNA helicase, CHLR1 (DDX11) [van der Lelij et al.,

The Bloom syndrome helicase BLM [RECQL3] plays an important role in HJ resolution, and congenital microcephaly can be marked in this condition. Warsaw breakage syndrome, a disorder of severe congenital microcephaly, growth retardation and chromosomal instability collectively reminiscent of FA and the cohensinopathies, was found to result from a mutation in another ATP‐dependent DNA helicase, CHLR1 (DDX11).

2010; Capo‐Chichi et al., 2013]. This helicase has been implicated in chromosome cohesion and in the recovery of replicating cells from DNA damage [Shah et al., 2013]. DNA2 is a helicasenuclease implicated in Okazaki fragment processing and the processing of abnormally structured replication forks (e.g., aberrant recombination intermediates) [Mimitou and Symington, 2009; Kang et al., 2010]. A truncating DNA2 mutation has recently been identified in a consanguineous MPD family [Shaheen et al., 2014]. This category of defect reinforces the concept that an impaired ability to process DNA breaks during DNA replication may represent a contributing pathomechanism to congenital microcephaly.

Prior to RAD51‐mediated strand invasion to establish HJs during HRR, DSBs in $S-G_2$ undergo resection (Fig. 4B). Various exonucleases have been implicated in this process, one of best known being the MRE11‐ RAD50‐NBN (M‐R‐N) complex [Symington and Gautier, 2011]. ATM directly phosphorylates each component of this complex following DSB formation. Mutations in all M‐R‐N components have now been identified in clinically overlapping human disorders exhibiting congenital microcephaly. Defects in NBN encoding Nibrin/NBS1 cause Nijmegen breakage syndrome (NBS), a disorder of congenital microcephaly, growth restriction and haematological malignancy [Carney et al., 1998; Varon et al., 1998]. Defects in RAD50 have been shown to cause an NBS‐like disorder, whilst mutations in MRE11 are associated with a clinical spectrum ranging from A‐T‐Like Disorder (A‐T‐LD) without overt microcephaly to an NBS‐like condition, probably reflective of different genotype‐phenotype impacts [Stewart et al., 1999; Waltes et al., 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2011]. CtIP (CTBP‐interacting protein) is another of these exonucleases implicated in DSB resection [Mimitou and Symington, 2009]. CtIP is encoded by RBBP8 (retinoblastoma binding protein 8), wherein a hypomorphic mutation has been described in a Seckel syndrome kindred [Qvist et al., 2011]. Therefore, hypomorphic defects in various exonucleases that function during specific stages of DSB repair are emerging as an underlying cause of congenital microcephaly.

DSB Repair Pathways in the Developing Brain: Spatial Regulation and Checkpoint Activation

As mentioned above, HRR is by its nature of requiring a homologous DNA strand as a template for repair, restricted to specific phases of the cell cycle where sister chromatids are available (i.e., $S-G_2-$ M) (Fig. 4B). Therefore, tissues with a very high replicative index would be expected to rely heavily on this pathway to repair DSBs, compared to non‐ replicative post‐mitotic tissues. Studies using knockout embryonic mouse models of NHEJ and HRR have suggested that both these DSB repair pathways may have distinct spatiotemporal functionality during neuronal development [Orii et al., 2006]. Defective HRR (Xrcc2)‐induced apoptosis appeared to occur predominantly within the proliferating neuronal precursors of the ventricular zone (VZ) [Orii et al., 2006] (Fig. 1A). In contrast, defective NHEJ (Lig4)-induced apoptosis appeared restricted to the postmitotic differentiating neurons of the subventricular zone (SVZ) [Orii et al., 2006]. Work using ionising radiation (IR)-induced DSBs in a hypomorphic Lig4 embryonic mouse model $(Lig4^{Y288C})$ has further developed this concept by providing evidence for a functional, but relatively insensitive, G2‐M cell cycle checkpoint in the VZ‐SVZ [Gatz et al., 2011]. This insensitive checkpoint allows cells with low numbers of DSBs to transit from the VZ‐SVZ to the intermediate zone (IZ)‐ cortical plate (CP) region where they then die by apoptosis [Gatz et al., 2011]. Interestingly, work in somatic cells has shown that the DSB‐induced G2‐M cell cycle checkpoint can be quite an ineffective block to preventing cells with modest levels of DSBs from entering mitosis [Lobrich and Jeggo, 2007].

Origins of Endogenous DNA Breaks in the Developing Brain

A growing body of evidence now indicates that the inability to repair DSBs because of defects in NHEJ and/ or HRR clearly adversely impacts upon normal neurogenesis often resulting in congenital microcephaly [O'Driscoll

A growing body of evidence now indicates that the inability to repair DSBs because of defects in NHEJ and/or HRR clearly adversely impacts upon normal neurogenesis often resulting in congenital microcephaly.

and Jeggo, 2008; O'Driscoll, 2012]. There has been much speculation as to what precisely could be the origin of endogenous DSBs during neurogenesis. One suspect, because of the high oxygen consumption and metabolic rate of neurons and their supportive cells, is endogenously generated reactive oxygen species (ROS)‐induced oxidative DNA damage [Caldecott, 2008]. This can result in base and ribose sugar backbone damage/modifications, abasic apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) site formation (i.e., base loss) and even overt single strand break (SSB) formation. These lesions are rapidly dealt with by the coordinated action of the base excision repair (BER) and single strand break repair (SSBR) pathways [Caldecott, 2008]. If an active DNA replication or transcription fork encounters an SSB there is an elevated risk of consequent DSB formation (Fig. 4C).

Congenital defects in certain SSBR components have been identified in humans, but these are usually associated with slowly progressive cerebellar degeneration, ultimately presenting with ataxia and peripheral neuropathy‐disorders, rather than congenital microcephaly [Caldecott, 2008; O'Driscoll, 2012]. It is likely that active and complementary DNA-repair pathways co-ordinately play a protective role during neurogenesis in this context. One example of a defect in a core SSBR component where this complementarity may be compromised is polynucleotide kinase‐

phosphatase (PNKP). Multiple novel mutations in PNKP were recently described in several individuals exhibiting severe primary microcephaly, developmental delay, hyperactivity and seizures (MCSZ) [Shen et al., 2010]. Interestingly, PNKP's dual kinase and phosphatase activity, which is essential for repair of the aberrantly modified termini at strand breaks, is also implicated in NHEJ (Fig. 4D) [Caldecott, 2002; Koch et al., 2004; Weinfeld et al., 2011]. Therefore, the marked congenital microcephaly in MCSZ may be more reflective of combined attenuation of both SSBR and DSB repair pathways.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Congenital microcephaly has a complex underlying genetic basis, as demonstrated by the selection of defects discussed here. An inability to divide and differentiate effectively resides at the center of this and there are multiple routes through which these processes can be disrupted. The growing number of causative genetic defects described for congenital microcephaly consolidates the importance of mitotic spindle organization and centrosome stability in enabling the execution of precise chromosome segregation during mitosis. Novel causative genetic defects also provide evidence that perturbations of other cell cycle phases are also relevant to normal neurogenesis, for example, DNA replication origin licensing, G1‐ S entry, DNA synthesis and efficient S‐ phase progression. The importance and integration of functional cilia‐signaling in the context of regulated cell cycle entry and progression cannot be overstated. Finally, defects in multiple components of the complex pathways that control genome stability and integrity via signal transduction and repair processes are additional important contributors to congenital microcephaly.

Without doubt, the advent of exome sequencing has greatly facilitated the identification of novel microcephaly‐causing defects, further developing our understanding of the molecular basis of this abnormality. This trend is likely to continue. The consequent challenge in

future will be functionally validating and determining the pathogenicity of the multitude of candidate variants derived from such approaches. This issue is compounded by the multigenic occurrence of variants, which is still likely an under‐appreciated and under‐reported situation at present [Agha et al., 2014]. Functional cellular biology using patient‐derived cell lines, model cell systems (siRNA, shRNA, cDNA complementation strategies) and even iPS from patients' cells, will likely continue to remain the basic cornerstone approaches in helping to meet this challenge. The growing use of more costeffective and rapidly growing model organisms in this area, such as zebrafish, is also likely to continue [Novorol et al., 2013]. For now, mouse models remain the effective 'gold standard' for neurogenic‐microcephaly research, but the potential offered by the fascinating cerebral organoid system represents a very exciting future prospect [Lancaster et al., 2013].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work in the O'Driscoll laboratory is supported by program funding from Cancer Research UK with additional support from the Medical Research Council (UK) and Leukaemia Lymphoma Research (UK). Thanks to Iga Abramowicz and Margaret Barrow for images.

REFERENCES

- Agha Z, Iqbal Z, Azam M, Siddique M, Willemsen MH, Kleefstra T, Zweier C, de Leeuw N, Qamar R, van Bokhoven H. 2014. A complex microcephaly syndrome in a Pakistani family associated with a novel missense mutation in RBBP8 and a heterozygous deletion in NRXN1. Gene 538:30–35.
- Ahmad S, Teebi RJG. 1997. Not a new Seckel‐like syndrome but ear‐patella‐short stature syndrome. Am J Med Genet 70:454.
- Arai Y, Pulvers JN, Haffner C, Schilling B, Nusslein I, Calegari F, Huttner WB. 2011. Neural stem and progenitor cells shorten S‐phase on commitment to neuron production. Nat Commun 2:154.
- Banin S, Moyal L, Shieh S, Taya Y, Anderson CW, Chessa L, Smorodinsky NI, Prives C, Reiss Y, Shiloh Y, Ziv Y. 1998. Enhanced phosphorylation of p53 by ATM in response to DNA damage. Science 281:1674–1677.
- Bettencourt‐Dias M, Glover DM. 2007. Centrosome biogenesis and function: Centrosomics brings new understanding. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8:451–463.
- Bicknell LS, Bongers EMHF, Leitch A, Brown S, Schoots J, Harley ME, Aftimos S, Al‐Aama JY, Bober M, Brown PAJ, van Bokhoven H, Dean J, Edrees AY, Feingold M, Fryer A, Hoefsloot LH, Kau N, Knoers NVAM, MacKenzie J, Opitz JM, Sarda P, Ross A, Temple IK, Toutain A, Wise CA, Wright M, Jackson AP. 2011a. Mutations in the pre‐ replication complex cause Meier–Gorlin syndrome. Nat Genet 43:356–359.
- Bicknell LS, Walker S, Klingseisen A, Stiff T, Leitch A, Kerzendorfer C, Martin C‐A, Yeyati P, Al Sanna N, Bober M, Johnson D, Wise C, Jackson AP, O'Driscoll M, Jeggo PA. 2011b. Mutations in ORC1, encoding the largest subunit of the origin recognition complex, cause microcephalic primordial dwarfism resembling Meier–Gorlin syndrome. Nat Genet 43:350–355.
- Bolanos‐Garcia VM, Kiyomitsu T, D'Arcy S, Chirgadze DY, Grossmann JG, Matak‐ Vinkovic D, Venkitaraman AR, Yanagida M, Robinson CV, Blundell TL. 2009. The crystal structure of the N‐terminal region of BUB1 provides insight into the mechanism of BUB1 recruitment to kinetochores. Structure 17:105–116.
- Bond JRE, Mochida GH, Hampshire DJ, Scott S, Askham JM, Springell K, Mahadevan M, Crow YJ, Markham AF, Walsh CA, Woods CG. 2002. ASPM is a major determinant of cerebral cortical size. Nat Genet 32:316– 320.
- Bongers E, Van Kampen A, Van Bokhoven H, Knoers N. 2005. Human syndromes with congenital patellar anomalies and the underlying gene defects. Clin Genet 68:302–319.
- Buchman JJ, Durak O, Tsai L‐H. 2011. ASPM regulates Wnt signaling pathway activity in the developing brain. Genes & Development 25:1909–1914.
- Buck D, Malivert L, de Chasseval R, Barraud A, Fondaneche M‐C, Sanal O, Plebani A, Stephan J‐L, Hufnagel M, le Deist F, Fischer A, Durandy A, de Villartay J‐P, Revy P. 2006. Cernunnos, a novel nonhomologous end‐ joining factor, is mutated in human immunodeficiency with microcephaly. Cell 124: 287–299.
- Caldecott K. 2002. Polynucleotide kinase. A versatile molecule makes a clean break. Structure [Camb] 10:1151.
- Caldecott KW. 2008. Single‐strand break repair and genetic disease. Nat Rev Genet 9:619– 631.
- Capo‐Chichi J‐M, Bharti SK, Sommers JA, Yammine T, Chouery E, Patry L, Rouleau GA, Samuels ME, Hamdan FF, Michaud JL, Brosh RM Jr, Mégarbane A, Kibar Z. 2013. Identification and biochemical characterization of a novel mutation in DDX11 causing Warsaw breakage syndrome. Hum Mutat 34:103–107.
- Carney JP, Maser RS, Olivares H, Davis EM, Le Beau M, Yates JR III, Hays L, Morgan WF, Petrini JHJ. 1998. The hMre11/hRad50 protein complex and Nijmegen breakagesyndrome: Linkage of double‐strand break repair to the cellular DNA damage response. Cell 93:477–486.
- Casey JP, Nobbs M, McGettigan P, Lynch S, Ennis S. 2012. Recessive mutations in MCM4/ PRKDC cause a novel syndrome involving a primary immunodeficiency and a disorder of DNA repair. J Med Genet 49:242–245.
- Cimprich KA, Cortez D. 2008. ATR: An essential regulator of genome integrity. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9:616–627.
- Cizmecioglu O, Arnold M, Bahtz R, Settele F, Ehret L, Haselmann‐Weiß U, Antony C, Hoffmann I. 2010. Cep152 acts as a scaffold for recruitment of Plk4 and CPAP to the centrosome. J Cell Biol 191:731–739.
- Croteau DL, Popuri V, Opresko PL, Bohr VA. 2014. Human RecQ helicases in DNA repair, recombination, and replication. Annu Rev Biochem 83.Epub ahead of print. PMID 24606147. DOI: 10.1146/annurev‐ biochem‐060713‐035428
- Dehay C, Kennedy H. 2007. Cell‐cycle control and cortical development. Nat Rev Neurosci 8:438–450.
- Dehay C, Savatier P, Cortay V, Kennedy H. 2001. Cell‐cycle kinetics of neocortical precursors are influenced by embryonic thalamic axons. J Neurosci 21:201–214.
- Deriano L, Roth DB. 2013. Modernizing the nonhomologous end‐joining repertoire: alternative and classical NHEJ share the stage. Annu Rev Genet 47:433–455.
- Domchek SM, Tang J, Stopfer J, Lilli DR, Hamel N, Tischkowitz M, Monteiro ANA, Messick TE, Powers J, Yonker A, Couch FJ, Goldgar DE, Davidson HR, Nathanson KL, Foulkes WD, Greenberg RA. 2013. Biallelic deleterious BRCA1 mutations in a woman with early‐onset ovarian cancer. Cancer Discov 3:399–405.
- Fish JL, Kosodo Y, Enard W, Pääbo S, Huttner WB. 2006. Aspm specifically maintains symmetric proliferative divisions of neuroepithelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:10438– 10443.
- Gatz SA, Ju L, Gruber R, Hoffmann E, Carr AM, Wang Z‐Q, Liu C, Jeggo PA. 2011. Requirement for DNA Ligase IV during Embryonic Neuronal Development. J Neurosci 31:10088–10100.
- Gellert M. 2002. V[D]J recombination: RAG proteins, repair factors, and regulation. Annu Rev Biochem 71:101–132.
- Genin A, Desir J, Lambert N, Biervliet M, Van Der Aa N, Pierquin G, Killian A, Tosi M, Urbina M, Lefort A, Libert F, Pirson I, Abramowicz M. 2012. Kinetochore KMN network gene CASC5 mutated in primary microcephaly. Hum Mol Genet 21:5306–5317.
- Gilmore EC, Walsh CA. 2013. Genetic causes of microcephaly and lessons for neuronal development. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol 2:461–478.
- Gineau L, Cognet C, Kara N, Lach FP, Dunne J, Veturi U, Picard C, Trouillet C, Eidenschenk C, Aoufouchi S, Alcaïs A, Smith O, Geissmann F, Feighery C, Abel L, Smogorzewska A, Stillman B, Vivier E, Casanova J‐L, Jouanguy E. 2012. Partial MCM4 deficiency in patients with growth retardation, adrenal insufficiency, and natural killer cell deficiency. J Clin Invest 122:821–832.
- Gorlin R, Cervenka J, Moller K, Horrobin M, Witkop CJ. 1975. Malformation syndromes. A selected miscellany. Birth Defects Orig Artic Ser 11:39–50.
- Griffith E, Walker S, Martin C‐A, Vagnarelli P, Stiff T, Vernay B, Sanna NA, Saggar A, Hamel B, Earnshaw WC, Jeggo PA, Jackson AP, O'Driscoll M. 2008. Mutations in pericentrin cause Seckel syndrome with defective ATR‐dependent DNA damage signaling. Nat Genet 40:232–236.
- Gruber R, Zhou Z, Sukchev M, Joerss T, Frappart P‐O, Wang Z‐Q. 2011. MCPH1 regulates the neuroprogenitor division mode by coupling the centrosomal cycle with mitotic entry through the Chk1‐Cdc25 pathway. Nat Cell Biol 13:1325–1334.
- Guernsey DL, Matsuoka M, Jiang H, Evans S, Macgillivray C, Nightingale M, Perry S, Ferguson M, LeBlanc M, Paquette J, Patry L, Rideout AL, Thomas A, Orr A, McMaster CR, Michaud JL, Deal C, Langlois S, Superneau DW, Parkash S, Ludman M, Skidmore DL, Samuels ME. 2011. Mutations in origin recognition complex gene ORC4 cause Meier–Gorlin syndrome. Nat Genet 43:360–364.
- Han Y‐G, Alvarez‐Buylla A. 2010. Role of primary cilia in brain development and cancer. Curr Opin Neurobiol 20:58–67.
- Hanks S, Coleman K, Reid S, Plaja A, Firth H, Fitzpatrick D, Kidd A, Mehes K, Nash R, Robin N, Shannon N, Tolmie J, Swansbury J, Irrthum A, Douglas J, Rahman N. 2004. Constitutional aneuploidy and cancer predisposition caused by biallelic mutations in BUB1B. Nat Genet 36:1159–1161.
- Heldin C‐H, Westermark B. 1999. Mechanism of action and in vivo role of platelet‐derived growth factor. Physiol Rev 79:1283–1316.
- Hemerly AS, Prasanth SG, Siddiqui K, Stillman B. 2009. Orc1 controls centriole and centrosome copy number in human cells. Science 323:789–793.
- Herzog K‐H, Chong MJ, Kapsetaki M, Morgan JI, McKinnon PJ. 1998. Requirement for Atm in ionizing radiation‐induced cell death in the developing central nervous system. Science 280:1089–1091.
- Heyer W‐D, Ehmsen KT, Liu J. 2010. Regulation of homologous recombination in eukaryotes. Annu Rev Genet 44:113–139.
- Hickson ID. 2003. RecQ helicases: Caretakers of the genome. Nat Rev Cancer 3:169–178.
- Hinchcliffe EHSG. 2001. "It takes two to tango": Understanding how centrosome duplication is regulated throughout the cell cycle. Genes Dev 15:1167–1181.
- Hughes CR, Guasti L, Meimaridou E, Chuang C‐ H, Schimenti JC, King PJ, Costigan C, Clark AJL, Metherell LA. 2012. MCM4 mutation causes adrenal failure, short stature, and natural killer cell deficiency in humans. J Clin Invest 122:814–820.
- Issa L, Mueller K, Seufert K, Kraemer N, Rosenkotter H, Ninnemann O, Buob M, Kaindl A, Morris‐Rosendahl D. 2013. Clinical and cellular features in patients with primary autosomal recessive microcephaly and a novel CDK5RAP2 mutation. Orphanet J Rare Dis 8:59.
- Jaglin XH, Chelly J. 2009. Tubulin‐related cortical dysgeneses: Microtubule dysfunction underlying neuronal migration defects. Trends Genet 25:555–566.
- Jamieson CR, Govaerts C, Abramowicz MJ. 1999. Primary autosomal recessive microcephaly: Homozygosity mapping of MCPH4 to

chromosome 15. Am J Hum Genet 65: 1465–1469.

- Kang Y-H, Lee C-H, Seo Y-S. 2010. Dna2 on the road to Okazaki fragment processing and genome stability in eukaryotes. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 45:71–96.
- Kee Yx, Andrea AD. 2012. Molecular pathogenesis and clinical management of fanconi anemia. J Clin Invest 122:3799–3806.
- Kerzendorfer C, Colnaghi R, Abramowicz I, Carpenter G, O'Driscoll M. 2013. Meier– Gorlin syndrome and Wolf–Hirschhorn syndrome: Two developmental disorders highlighting the importance of efficient DNA replication for normal development and neurogenesis. DNA Repair 12:637–644.
- Kim H, D'Andrea AD. 2012. Regulation of DNA cross‐link repair by the fanconi anemia/ BRCA pathway. Genes Dev 26:1393–1408.
- Kiyomitsu T, Murakami H, Yanagida M. 2011. Protein interaction domain mapping of human kinetochore protein Blinkin reveals a consensus motif for binding of spindle assembly checkpoint proteins Bub1 and BubR1. Mol Cell Biol 31:998–1011.
- Kiyomitsu T, Obuse C, Yanagida M. 2007. Human Blinkin/AF15q14 is required for chromosome alignment and the mitotic checkpoint through direct interaction with Bub1 and BubR1. Dev Cell 13:663–676.
- Koch CA, Agyei R, Galicia S, Metalnikov P, O'Donnell P, Starostine A, Weinfeld M, Durocher D. 2004. Xrcc4 physically links DNA end processing by polynucleotide kinase to DNA ligation by DNA ligase IV. EMBO J 23:3874–3885.
- Kumagai A, Lee J, Yoo HY, Dunphy WG. 2006. TopBP1 activates the ATR‐ATRIP complex. Cell 124:943–955.
- Kuo AJ, Song J, Cheung P, Ishibe‐Murakami S, Yamazoe S, Chen JK, Patel DJ, Gozani O. 2012. The BAH domain of ORC1 links H4K20me2 to DNA replication licensing and Meier–Gorlin syndrome. Nature 484:115–119.
- Lancaster MA, Renner M, Martin C‐A, Wenzel D, Bicknell LS, Hurles ME, Homfray T, Penninger JM, Jackson AP, Knoblich JA. 2013. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly. Nature 501:373–379.
- Lavin MF. 2008. Ataxia‐telangiectasia: From a rare disorder to a paradigm for cell signalling and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9:759–769.
- Lee JE, Gleeson JG. 2011. Cilia in the nervous system: Linking cilia function and neurodevelopmental disorders. Curr Opin Neurol 24:98–105.
- Lee Y, Katyal S, Downing SM, Zhao J, Russell HR, McKinnon PJ. 2012a. Neurogenesis requires TopBP1 to prevent catastrophic replicative DNA damage in early progenitors. Nat Neurosci 15:819–826.
- Lee Y, Shull ER, Frappart PO, Katyal S, Enriquez-Rios V, Zhao J, Russell HR, Brown EJ, McKinnon PJ. 2012b. ATR maintains select progenitors during nervous system development. EMBO J 31:1177–1189.
- Lobrich M, Jeggo PA. 2007. The impact of a negligent G2/M checkpoint on genomic instability and cancer induction. Nat Rev Cancer 7:861–869.
- Loveday C, Turnbull C, Ramsay E, Hughes D, Ruark E, Frankum JR, Bowden G, Kalmyr-

zaev B, Warren‐Perry M, Snape K, Adlard JW, Barwell J, Berg J, Brady AF, Brewer C, Brice G, Chapman C, Cook J, Davidson R, Donaldson A, Douglas F, Greenhalgh L, Henderson A, Izatt L, Kumar A, Lalloo F, Miedzybrodzka Z, Morrison PJ, Paterson J, Porteous M, Rogers MT, Shanley S, Walker L, Eccles D, Evans DG, Renwick A, Seal S, Lord CJ, Ashworth A, Reis‐Filho JS, Antoniou AC, Rahman N. 2011. Germline mutations in RAD51D confer susceptibility to ovarian cancer. Nat Genet 43:879–882.

- Loveday C, Turnbull C, Ruark E, Xicola RMM, Ramsay E, Hughes D, Warren‐Perry M, Snape K, Eccles D, Evans DG, Gore M, Renwick A, Seal S, Antoniou AC, Rahman N. 2012. Germline RAD51C mutations confer susceptibility to ovarian cancer. Nat Genet 44:475–476.
- Mahmood S, Ahmad W, Hassan M. 2011. Autosomal recessive primary microcephaly [MCPH]: clinical manifestations, genetic heterogeneity and mutation continuum. Orphanet J Rare Dis 6:39.
- Maréchal A, Zou L. 2013. DNA damage sensing by the ATM and ATR kinases. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 5:223–239.
- Matsumoto Y, Miyamoto T, Sakamoto H, Izumi H, Nakazawa Y, Ogi T, Tahara H, Oku S, Hiramoto A, Shiiki T, Fujisawa Y, Ohashi H, Sakemi Y, Matsuura S. 2011. Two unrelated patients with MRE11A mutations and Nijmegen breakage syndrome‐like severe microcephaly. DNA Repair 10:314– 321.
- Matsuoka S, Ballif BA, Smogorzewska A, McDonald ER III, Hurov KE, Luo J, Bakalarski CE, Zhao Z, Solimini N, Lerenthal Y, Shiloh Y, Gygi SP, Elledge SJ. 2007. ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage. Science 316:1160–1166.
- Matsuura S, Ito E, Tauchi H, Komatsu K, Ikeuchi T, Kajii T. 2000. Chromosomal instability syndrome of total premature chromatid separation with mosaic variegated aneuploidy is defective in mitotic‐spindle checkpoint. Am J Hum Genet 67:483–486.
- Meindl A, Hellebrand H, Wiek C, Erven V, Wappenschmidt B, Niederacher D, Freund M, Lichtner P, Hartmann L, Schaal H, Ramser J, Honisch E, Kubisch C, Wichmann HE, Kast K, Deiszler H, Engel C, Muller‐ Myhsok B, Neveling K, Kiechle M, Mathew CG, Schindler D, Schmutzler RK, Hanenberg H. 2010. Germline mutations in breast and ovarian cancer pedigrees establish RAD51C as a human cancer susceptibility gene. Nat Genet 42:410–414.
- Mimitou EP, Symington LS. 2009. DNA end resection: Many nucleases make light work. DNA Repair 8:983–995.
- Mirzaa GM, Vitre B, Carpenter G, Abramowicz I, Gleeson JG, Paciorkowski AR, Cleveland DW, Dobyns WB, O Driscoll M. 2014. Mutations in CENPE define a novel kinetochore‐centromeric mechanism for microcephalic primordial dwarfism. Human Genet, Epub ahead of publication. DOI: 10.1007/ s00439‐014‐1443‐3
- Miyoshi K, Kasahara K, Miyazaki I, Shimizu S, Taniguchi M, Matsuzaki S, Tohyama M, Asanuma M. 2009. Pericentrin, a centrosomal protein related to microcephalic

primordial dwarfism, is required for olfactory cilia assembly in mice. FASEB J 23:3289– 3297.

- Miyoshi K, Onishi K, Asanuma M, Miyazaki I, Diaz‐Corrales F, Ogawa N. 2006. Embryonic expression of pericentrin suggests universal roles in ciliogenesis. Dev Genes Evol 216: 537–542.
- Moldovan G‐L, D'Andrea AD. 2009. How the fanconi anemia pathway guards the genome. Annu Rev Genet 43:223–249.
- Morris‐Rosendahl DJ, Najm J, Lachmeijer AMA, Sztriha L, Martins M, Kuechler A, Haug V, Zeschnigk C, Martin P, Santos M, Vasconcelos C, Omran H, Kraus U, Van der Knaap MS, Schuierer G, Kutsche K, Uyanik G. 2008. Refining the phenotype of α -1a Tubulin [TUBA1A] mutation in patients with classical lissencephaly. Clin Genet 74: 425–433.
- Moshous D, Callebaut I, de Chasseval R, Corneo B, Cavazzana‐Calvo M, Le Deist F, Tezcan I, Sanal O, Bertrand Y, Philippe N, Fischer A, de Villartay JP. 2001. Artemis, a novel DNA double‐strand break repair/V[D]J recombination protein, is mutated in human severe combined immune deficiency. Cell 105: 177–186.
- Moynahan ME, Jasin M. 2010. Mitotic homologous recombination maintains genomic stability and suppresses tumorigenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11:196–207.
- Mühlhans J, Brandstätter JH, Gießl A. 2011. The centrosomal protein pericentrin identified at the basal body complex of the connecting cilium in mouse photoreceptors. PLoS ONE 6:e26496.
- Murga M, Bunting S, Montana MF, Soria R, Mulero F, Canamero M, Lee Y, McKinnon PJ, Nussenzweig A, Fernandez‐Capetillo O. 2009. A mouse model of ATR‐Seckel shows embryonic replicative stress and accelerated aging. Nat Genet 41:891–898.
- Murray JE, Bicknell LS, Yigit G, Duker AL, van Kogelenberg M, Haghayegh S, Wieczorek D, Kayserili H, Albert MH, Wise CA, Brandon J, Kleefstra T, Warris A, van der Flier M, Bamforth JS, Doonanco K, Adès L, Ma A, Field M, Johnson D, Shackley F, Firth H, Woods CG, Nürnberg P, Gatti RA, Hurles M, Bober MB, Wollnik B, Jackson AP. 2014. Extreme growth failure is a common presentation of ligase IV deficiency. Hum Mutat 35:76–85.
- Musacchio A. 2011. Spindle assembly checkpoint: The third decade. Philos Trans Roy Soc B Biol Sci 366:3595–3604.
- Noguchi K, Vassilev A, Ghosh S, Yates JL, DePamphilis ML. 2006. The BAH domain facilitates the ability of human Orc1 protein to activate replication origins in vivo. EMBO J 25:5372–5382.
- Novorol C, Burkhardt J, Wood KJ, Iqbal A, Roque C, Coutts N, Almeida AD, He J, Wilkinson CJ, Harris WA. 2013. Microcephaly models in the developing zebrafish retinal neuroepithelium point to an underlying defect in metaphase progression. Open Biol 3: e130065.
- O'Driscoll M. 2009. Mouse models for ATR deficiency. DNA Repair 8:1333–1337.
- O'Driscoll M, Cerosaletti KM, Girard P‐M, Dai Y, Stumm M, Kysela B, Hirsch B, Gennery A, Palmer SE, Seidel J, Gatti RA, Varon R,

Oettinger MA, Sperling K, Jeggo PA, Concannon P. 2001. DNA ligase IV mutations identified in patients exhibiting development delay and immunodeficiency. Mol Cell 8:1175–1185.

- O'Driscoll M, Jeggo PA. 2006. The role of double‐strand break repair—Insights from human genetics. Nat Rev Genet 7:45–54.
- O'Driscoll M, Jeggo PA. 2008. The role of the DNA damage response pathways in brain development and microcephaly: Insight from human disorders. DNA Repair 7:1039– 1050.
- O'Driscoll M, Ruiz‐Perez VL, Woods CG, Jeggo PA, Goodship JA. 2003. A splicing mutation affecting expression of ataxia‐telangiectasia and Rad3‐related protein (ATR) results in Seckel syndrome. Nat Genet 33:497–501.
- O'Driscoll M. 2012. Diseases associated with defective responses to DNA damage. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4:411–435.
- Ogi T, Walker S, Stiff T, Hobson E, Limsirichaikul S, Carpenter G, Prescott K, Suri M, Byrd PJ, Matsuse M, Mitsutake N, Nakazawa Y, Vasudevan P, Barrow M, Stewart GS, Taylor AMR, O'Driscoll M, Jeggo PA. 2012. Identification of the first ATRIP–deficient patient and novel mutations in ATR define a clinical spectrum for ATR–ATRIP Seckel syndrome. PLoS Genet 8:e1002945.
- Orii KE, Lee Y, Kondo N, McKinnon PJ. 2006. Selective utilization of nonhomologous end‐ joining and homologous recombination DNA repair pathways during nervous system development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:10017–10022.
- Pilaz L‐J, Patti D, Marcy G, Ollier E, Pfister S, Douglas RJ, Betizeau M, Gautier E, Cortay V, Doerflinger N, Kennedy H, Dehay C. 2009. Forced G1‐phase reduction alters mode of division, neuron number, and laminar phenotype in the cerebral cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:21924–21929.
- Poirier K, Lebrun N, Broix L, Tian G, Saillour Y, Boscheron C, Parrini E, Valence S, Pierre BS, Oger M, Lacombe D, Genevieve D, Fontana E, Darra F, Cances C, Barth M, Bonneau D, Bernadina BD, N'Guyen S, Gitiaux C, Parent P, des Portes V, Pedespan JM, Legrez V, Castelnau‐Ptakine L, Nitschke P, Hieu T, Masson C, Zelenika D, Andrieux A, Francis F, Guerrini R, Cowan NJ, Bahi‐Buisson N, Chelly J. 2013. Mutations in TUBG1, DYNC1H1, KIF5C and KIF2A cause malformations of cortical development and microcephaly. Nat Genet 45:639–647.
- Ponting CP. 2006. A novel domain suggests a ciliary function for ASPM, a brain size determining gene. Bioinformatics 22:1031– 1035.
- Qvist P, Huertas P, Jimeno S, Nyegaard M, Hassan MJ, Jackson SP, Børglum AD. 2011. CtIP mutations cause Seckel and Jawad syndromes. PLoS Genet 7:e1002310.
- Rakic P. 1995. A small step for the cell, a giant leap for mankind: A hypothesis of neocortical expansion during evolution. Trends Neurosci 18:383–388.
- Rauch A, Thiel CT, Schindler D, Wick U, Crow YJ, Ekici AB, van Essen AJ, Goecke TO, Al‐ Gazali L, Chrzanowska KH, Zweier C, Brunner HG, Becker K, Curry CJ, Dallapiccola B, Devriendt K, Dorfler A, Kinning E, Megarbane A, Meinecke P, Semple RK,

Spranger S, Toutain A, Trembath RC, Voss E, Wilson L, Hennekam R, de Zegher F, Dorr H‐G, Reis A. 2008. Mutations in the pericentrin (PCNT) gene cause primordial dwarfism. Science 319:816–819.

- Riballo E, Kuhne M, Rief N, Doherty AJ, Smith GCM, Recio M‐J, Reis C, Dahm K, Fricke A, Krempler A, Parker AR, Jackson SP, Gennery AR, Jeggo PA, Lobrich M. 2004. A pathway of double strand break rejoining dependent upon ATM, Artemis and proteins locating to g‐H2AX foci. Mol Cell 16:715– 724.
- Romaniello R, Arrigoni F, Cavallini A, Tenderini E, Baschirotto C, Triulzi F, Bassi M‐T, Borgatti R. 2014. Brain malformations and mutations in α - and β -tubulin genes: A review of the literature and description of two new cases. Dev Med Child Neurol 56:354–360.
- Roos WP, Kaina B. 2006. DNA damage‐induced cell death by apoptosis. Trends Mol Med 12:440–450.
- Rudner AD, Murray AW. 1996. The spindle assembly checkpoint. Curr Opin Cell Biol 8:773–780.
- Schneider L, Clement CA, Teilmann SC, Pazour GJ, Hoffmann EK, Satir P, Christensen ST. 2005. PDGFRaa signaling is regulated through the primary cilium in fibroblasts. Curr Biol 15:1861–1866.
- Shah N, Inoue A, Woo Lee S, Beishline K, Lahti JM, Noguchi E. 2013. Roles of ChlR1 DNA helicase in replication recovery from DNA damage. Exp Cell Res 319:2244– 2253.
- Shaheen R, Faqeih E, Ansari S, Abdel‐Salam G, Al‐Hassnan ZN, Al‐Shidi T, Alomar R, Sogaty S, Alkuraya FS. 2014. Genomic analysis of primordial dwarfism reveals novel disease genes. Genome Res 24:291–299.
- Shaheen R, Faqeih E, Shamseldin Hanan E, Noche Ramil R, Sunker A, Alshammari Muneera J, Al‐Sheddi T, Adly N, Al‐Dosari Mohammed S, Megason Sean G, Al‐Husain M, Al‐Mohanna F, Alkuraya Fowzan S. 2012. POC1A truncation mutation causes a ciliopathy in humans characterized by primordial dwarfism. Am J Hum Genet 91:330–336.
- Shamseldin HE, Elfaki M, Alkuraya FS. 2012. Exome sequencing reveals a novel Fanconi group defined by XRCC2 mutation. J Med Genet 49:184–186.
- Shen J, Gilmore EC, Marshall CA, Haddadin M, Reynolds JJ, Eyaid W, Bodell A, Barry B, Gleason D, Allen K, Ganesh VS, Chang BS, Grix A, Hill RS, Topcu M, Caldecott KW, Barkovich AJ, Walsh CA. 2010. Mutations in PNKP cause microcephaly, seizures and defects in DNA repair. Nat Genet 42:245– 249.
- Shiloh Y, Ziv Y. 2013. The ATM protein kinase: regulating the cellular response to genotoxic stress, and more. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 14:197–210.
- Sir J‐H, Barr AR, Nicholas AK, Carvalho OP, Khurshid M, Sossick A, Reichelt S, D'Santos C, Woods CG, Gergely F. 2011. A primary microcephaly protein complex forms a ring around parental centrioles. Nat Genet 43: 1147–1153.
- Sirbu BM, Cortez D. 2013. DNA damage response: Three levels of DNA repair

regulation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 5:183–198.

- Sokka M, Parkkinen S, Pospiech H, Syväoja J. 2010. Function of TopBP1 in genome stability. In: Nasheuer H‐P editor. Genome stability and human diseases. Houten (Utrecht), Netherlands: Springer. pp 119– 141.
- Spalding KL, Bhardwaj RD, Buchholz BA, Druid H, Frisén J. 2005. Retrospective birth dating of cells in humans. Cell 122:133–143.
- Spassky N, Han YG, Aguilar A, Strehl L, Besse L, Laclef C, Romaguera Ros M, Garcia‐Verdugo JM, Alvarez‐Buylla A. 2008. Primary cilia are required for cerebellar development and Shh‐dependent expansion of progenitor pool. Dev Biol 317:246– 259.
- Stewart GS, Maser RS, Stankovic T, Bressan DA, Kaplan MI, Jaspers NGJ, Raams A, Byrd PJ, Petrini JHJ, Taylor AMR. 1999. The DNA double‐strand break repair gene hMRE11 is mutated in individuals with an ataxia‐ telangiectasia‐like disorder. Cell 99:577– 587.
- Stiff T, Alagoz M, Alcantara A, Outwin E, Brunner HG, Bongers EMHF, O'Driscoll M, Jeggo PA. 2013. Deficiency in origin licensing proteins impairs cilia formation: Implications for the aetiology of Meier–Gorlin syndrome. PLoS Genet 9:e1003360.
- Stokes MP, Rush J, MacNeill J, Ren JM, Sprott K, Nardone J, Yang V, Beausoleil SA, Gygi SP, Livingstone M, Zhang H, Polakiewicz RD, Comb MJ. 2007. Profiling of UV‐induced ATM/ATR signaling pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:19855–19860.
- Symington LS, Gautier J. 2011. Double‐strand break end resection and repair pathway choice. Annu Rev Genet 45:247–271.
- Tan X, Shi S‐H. 2013. Neocortical neurogenesis and neuronal migration. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol 2:443–459.
- Thornton GK, Woods CG. 2009. Primary microcephaly: Do all roads lead to Rome? Trends Genet 25:501–510.
- van der Burg M, Ijspeert H, Verkaik NS, Turul T, Wiegant WW, Morotomi‐Yano K, Mari P‐ O, Tezcan I, Chen DJ, Zdzienicka MZ, van Dongen JJM, van Gent DC. 2009. A DNA‐ PKcs mutation in a radiosensitive T–B– SCID patient inhibits Artemis activation and nonhomologous end‐joining. J Clin Invest 119:91–98.
- van der Lelij P, Chrzanowska KH, Godthelp BC, Rooimans MA, Oostra AB, Stumm M, Zdzienicka MZ, Joenje H, de Winter JP. 2010. Warsaw breakage syndrome, a cohesinopathy associated with mutations in the XPD helicase family member DDX11/ ChlR1. Am J Hum Genet 86:262–266.
- Varon R, Vissinga C, Platzer M, Cerosaletti KM, Chrzanowska KH, Saar K, Beckmann G, Seemanova E, Cooper PR, Nowak NJ, Stumm M, Weemaes CMR, Gatti RA, Wilson RK, Digweed M, Rosenthal A, Sperling K, Concannon P, Reis A. 1998. Nibrin, a novel DNA double‐strand break repair protein, is mutated in Nijmegen breakage syndrome. Cell 93:467–476.
- Verloes A, Drunat S, Gressens P, Passemard S. 2013. Primary Autosomal recessive microcephalies and Seckel syndrome spectrum disorders. In: Pagon RA, Adam MP, Bird TD, Dolan CR, Fong CT, Smith RJH, Stephens K, editors. GeneReviews[R]. Seattle, WA: University of Washington. PMID20301771.
- Walczak CE, Cai S, Khodjakov A. 2010. Mechanisms of chromosome behaviour during mitosis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11:91–102.
- Waltes R, Kalb R, Gatei M, Kijas AW, Stumm M, Sobeck A, Wieland B, Varon R, Lerenthal Y, Lavin MF, Schindler D, Dörk T. 2009. Human RAD50 deficiency in a Nijmegen breakage syndrome‐like disorder. Am J Hum Genet 84:605–616.
- Weedon MN, Ellard S, Prindle MJ, Caswell R, Allen HL, Oram R, Godbole K, Yajnik CS, Sbraccia P, Novelli G, Turnpenny P, McCann E, Goh KJ, Wang Y, Fulford J, McCulloch LJ, Savage DB, O'Rahilly S, Kos K, Loeb LA, Semple RK, Hattersley AT. 2013. An in‐frame deletion at the polymerase active site of POLD1 causes a multisystem disorder with lipodystrophy. Nat Genet 45: 947–950.
- Weinfeld M, Mani RS, Abdou I, Aceytuno RD, Glover JNM. 2011. Tidying up loose ends: The role of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase in DNA strand break repair. Trends Biochem Sci 36:262–271.
- Woodbine L, Neal JA, Sasi N‐K, Shimada M, Deem K, Coleman H, Dobyns WB, Ogi T, Meek K, Davies EG, Jeggo PA. 2013. PRKDC mutations in a SCID patient with profound neurological abnormalities. J Clin Invest 123:2969–2980.
- Woods CG, Parker A. 2013. Investigating microcephaly. Arch Dis Child 98:707–713.
- Wu Q, Liu J, Fang A, Li R, Bai Y, Kriegstein A, Wang X. 2014. The dynamics of neuronal migration. In: Nguyen L, Hippenmeyer S, editors. Cellular and molecular control of neuronal migration. Houten (Utrecht), Netherlands: Springer. pp 25–36.
- Zimmerman WC, Sillibourne J, Rosa J, Doxsey SJ. 2004. Mitosis-specific Anchoring of γ tubulin complexes by pericentrin controls spindle organization and mitotic entry. Mol Biol Cell 15:3642–3657.